

Patent Application No. 10/713,141  
Amdt. date: August 17, 2005  
Response to May 18, 2005, Office Action

### REMARKS

#### In the Claims:

Claims 1-31 are pending in the application. Claims 18, 20, 23 and 25 are withdrawn from consideration, with the right to present the subject matter of these claims in this or a continuing application reserved. Claims 1, 29, 31 have been amended.

#### 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) Rejections

Claims 1-15, 21, 22, 24, 26-29 and 31 stand rejected as being anticipated variously by U.S. Patents No. 5,290,086 to Tucker, No. 6,135,497 to Sutherland, and No. 2,710,058 to Gronlund et al. Applicants thank the Examiner for the interview of August 17, 2005, and respectfully submit that the amendments to claims 1, 29 and 31 better distinguish over these references. Each of Tucker, Sutherland and Gronlund disclose a barrier that deploys to cover a portion of a window of a vehicle, the window being apart of a further structure, namely a door, that covers and impedes egress through the exit to the vehicle. The amendments make it clear that the vehicle's exit is open, being devoid of a window or a door, and that the only structure impeding egress through the exit is the barrier. Accordingly, applicants respectfully submit that the rejected claims are in condition for allowance.

#### 35 U.S.C. § 103(b) Rejections

Claims 16, 17, 19 and 30 stand rejected as being unpatentable over Gronlund et al. in view of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/102,876 of Asano et al. Applicants respectfully submit that the amendments render these rejections moot. Moreover, applicants respectfully assert that a *prima facie* case of obviousness has not been made as the Office Action has provided no motivation for combining the references. Moreover, there is no motivation or suggestion in either reference for combining the references. Accordingly, applicants request that the obviousness rejections be reconsidered and withdrawn, in which case, applicants will rewrite as new independent claims the original claims 16 and 29, and the claims depending therefrom.

No fees are thought due with this Amendment; however, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any fees, other than issue fees, or credit any overcharges to the undersigned counsel's deposit account 10-0435 with reference to our file 2835-73803. Applicant asks that the Examiner contact Applicant's counsel James R. Sweeney II (317-231-7771) should the Examiner have any further questions after review of the present Amendment.

Patent Application No. 10/713,141  
Amdt. date: August 17, 2005  
Response to May 18, 2005, Office Action

Respectfully,

BARNES & THORNBURG LLP.

By: 

James R. Sweeney II  
Reg. No. 45,670

11 S. Meridian Street  
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204  
Telephone: (317) 231-7771

JNDS02 JRS 750341v1